Case 1:08-cv-03476 Dtallock itta c Cied 7 202008 Page 1 of 3


Gallo Vitucci Klar Pinter & Cogan

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONIC

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#

90 Broad Street 3rd Floor New York, NY 10004 212.683.7100 Fax 212.683.5555

Fax 212.683.5555 www.gvlaw.com



BYF

MEMO ENDORSED THEOR

July 9, 2008

Hon. Magistrate Judge Debra Freeman Daniel Patrick Movnihan

United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 525

Courtroom: 17A

New York, New York 10007

Hon. Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Peck

Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1370

Courtroom: 20D

New York, New York 10007

Re:

Rudnick v. Nikki Beach Midtown

Docket No.: 07-CV-11460-DLC

Docket No.: 08-CV-03476-RJS

Thus Sixes so ORDERED

Hon. Andrew Jak Peck United States Magical

Dear Hon. Magistrate Judge Freeman and Hon. Magistrate Judge Peck:

I represent the defendant Nikki Beach Midtown in this matter and am writing regarding an administrative issue which has arisen. I have spoken with my adversary prior to writing and we are in agreement on the issue. Unfortunately, we need judicial intervention to remedy the situation.

As the Court can see from above, two actions were filed. Both complaints are identical as well as the parties. The first action, bearing a 2007 docket number was transferred from the Federal District Court for the District of New Jersey, based upon motion practice by my office. The second was filed by plaintiff's counsel, who was under the impression the complaint needed to be re-filed in the Southern District of New York. A review of the Court's docket shows that our office filed an answer in the 2008 (second) action.

New Jersey Office One University Plaza, Ste 302, Hackensack, NJ 07601 201.242.1011 Fax 201.242.8201 Rudnic Castell 108ch Mr. 13476-DLC Document 3 Filed 07/16/2008 Page 2 of 3

Docket No.: 07-CV-11460-DLC Docket No.: 08-CV-03476-RJS

Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff's counsel and I have spoken and agree that there is no need for these two duplicate actions to exist. It is respectfully, requested the Court consolidate the second action (2008) into the first, which bears docket number 07-CV-11560-DLC. This will allow plaintiff's complaint to relate back to the original action filed in New Jersey for Statute of Limitation purposes and not require the defense to file another Answer under the 2007 docket number.

Naturally, the parties will be guided by the Court's wisdom if there is another way to effectuate the above result.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

TWU/cl

cc: MARK F. CASAZZA, ESQ. RUDNICK ADDONIZIO & PAPPA 25 Village Court Hazlet, New Jersey 07730 732-264-4400 Respectfully submitted

Pheodore W Geinsk

Case 1:08-cv-03476-DLC Document 3 Filed 07/16/2008 Page 3 of 3

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET



ANDREW J. PECK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 1370 New York, N.Y. 10007-1312

Fax No.: (212) 805-7933 Telephone No.: (212) 805-0036

Dated: July 16, 2008 Total Number of Pages: 3

FAX NUMBER
683-5555
732-888-2780
-

TRANSCRIPTION:

MEMO ENDORSED 7/16/08

Neither of these cases were referred to a Magistrate Judge. Thus, counsel should address this matter to the District Judges (Cote & Sullivan) – unless the parties consent to having the cases before a Magistrate Judge for all purposes, pursuant to 28 USC § 636(c).

Copies to: Magistrate Judge Debra Freeman

Judge Denise L. Cote Judge Richard J. Sullivan